

MATTER OF MARALLAG

In Deportation Proceedings

A-17833582

Decided by Board November 5, 1971

Respondent's deportation hearing was properly held *in absentia* where she was notified of the time and place of hearing, she had a reasonable opportunity to be present, and she has shown no reasonable cause for her failure to attend (section 242(b), Immigration and Nationality Act).

CHARGE:

Order: Act of 1952—Section 241(a) (2) [8 U.S.C. 1251(a) (2)]—Nonimmigrant visitor—remained longer.

ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENT:

John L. Weir, Esquire
483 Castro Street
San Francisco, California 94114

ON BEHALF OF SERVICE:

Stephen M. Suffin
Trial Attorney
(Brief filed)

Respondent appeals from the special inquiry officer's order requiring her deportation. The appeal will be dismissed. We find respondent deportable, but we will grant voluntary departure.

The deportation hearing was held *in absentia*. Exhibits introduced at the hearing establish that the respondent, a 29-year-old single female, a native and citizen of the Philippines, admitted as a temporary visitor for pleasure on April 5, 1971 for a period ending May 15, 1971, did not receive an extension of stay. The record establishes respondent's deportability.

In a brief submitted on appeal counsel contended that he could not be present at the deportation hearing because he was busy. He stated that respondent "was not able to receive a notice" of the hearing. There is no further explanation and no affidavit from respondent on the matter. The trial attorney stated counsel did not ask for an adjournment. In the brief, counsel requested additional time within which to file a brief. The request was granted by the special inquiry officer. The other further communication from counsel is a letter dated September 17, 1971 in